

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/073,669	Applicant(s) NOBLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Mary J. Steelman	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(3) _____.

(2) Christian A. Nicholes, Reg. No. 50,266.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner clarified that amendment to claim 1 was intended to include up to three "computer readable storage medium". Drawings (FIG. 1) support this. Specification (page 9, lines 5-30) also provide support.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)